

Editorial note: This manuscript has been previously reviewed at another journal that is not operating a transparent peer review scheme. This document only contains reviewer comments and rebuttal letters for versions considered at *Nature Communications*.

#### REVIEWERS' COMMENTS

Reviewer #1 (Remarks to the Author):

Thank you for responding to my comments. I am happy with the revised version.

Reviewer #2 (Remarks to the Author):

Authors have sufficiently addressed my earlier comments. I am happy to recommend publication.